	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	1
12		
13	FORM ACADEMY, INC., MIRIAM JONES 1316 Glendale Blvd.	Case No. 996860
14	Los Angeles, CA 90026	
15	2003 Canyon Drive Los Angeles, CA 90068	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
16		
17	3303 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90026	[Gov. Code, § 11520]
18	Pumilia Patel & Adamec LLP,	
19	555 W Fifth Street, Suite 3000 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
20		
21	Certification of Institutional and Program Approval No. 83978984	
22		
23	Respondent.	
24		
25	Complainant alleges:	
26		
27		
28		
	1 (FORM ACADEMY, INC., MIRIAM JONES) DEFAUL	T DECISION & ORDER Case No. 906860

1	•	
2	FINDING OF FACT	
3	1. On or about February 2, 2016, Complainant Joanne Wenzel, in her official	
4	capacity as the Bureau Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education,	
5	Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 996860 against Form Academy,	
6	Inc., Miriam Jones (Respondent) before the Director of Consumer Affairs. (Accusation	
7	attached as Exhibit A.)	
8 9	2. On or about October 27, 2010, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education	
10	(Bureau) issued Certification of Institutional and Program Approvals No. 83978984 to	
11	Respondent. The Certification of Institutional and Program Approvals was in full force	
12	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 996860 and will	
13		
14	expire on October 20, 2016, unless renewed.	
15	3. On or about February 8, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First	
16	Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 996860, Statement to Respondent, Notice of	
17	Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections	
18	11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which is required to be	
19	reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is:	
20	1316 Glendale Blvd	
21	Los Angeles, CA 90026	
22	4. On or about February 17, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned by	
23	the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return To Sender, No Such Number, Unable to	
24	Forward."	
25 26	5. On or about February 8, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First	
20	Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 996860, Statement to Respondent, Notice of	
28		
	2	

1 .		
2	Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections	
3	11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's alternate address located at:	
4	2003 Canyon Drive	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90068	
6	6. The aforementioned documents have not been returned and Respondent has	
7	failed to file a Notice of Defense.	
8	7. On or about February 29, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First	
9	Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 996860, Statement to Respondent, Notice of	
10	Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections	
11 12	11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's alternate address located at:	
12	3303 West Sunset Boulevard	
14	Los Angeles, CA 90026	
15	8. The aforementioned documents have not been returned and Respondent has	
16	failed to file a Notice of Defense.	
17	9. On or about February 29, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First	
18	Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 996860, Statement to Respondent, Notice of	
19	Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections	
20	11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's alternate address (an agent for service of	
21	process) located at:	
22	Punilia Patel & Adamec, LLP	
23	555 W Fifth Street, Suite 3000	
24	Los Angeles, CA 90013	
25	10. On or about February 17, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned	
26	by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return To Sender, Unable to Forward."	
27	11. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions	
28		
	3	
	(FORM ACADEMY, INC., MIRIAM JONES) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 996860	

1.....

I

2	of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code	
3	section 124.	
4	12. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:	
5	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent Files a notice of defenseand the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all	
6 7	parts of the accusationnot expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense in its secretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
8	13. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon	
9	her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of	
10	Accusation No. 996860.	
11	14. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:	
12	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defenseor to appear at the	
13 14	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent	
15		
16	15. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director	
17	finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and,	
18	based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this	
19	matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 996860 are separately and	
20	severally, found to be true and correct by a preponderance of the evidence.	
21	16. A Certification of Costs for investigation and enforcement in the amount of	
22	\$7,570.00 as of April 23, 2016, was submitted as part of the evidence packet. Pursuant to	
23	Bus. & Prof. Code § 125.3(c), it is hereby deemed prima facie evidence that the costs are	
24	reasonable.	
25	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
26		
27	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Form Academy, Inc.,	
28		
	4	

1		
2	Miriam Jones has subjected her Certification of Institutional and Program Approvals No.	
3	83978984 to discipline.	
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
5	3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's	
6	Certification of Institutional and Program Approvals based upon the following violations	
7	alleged in the accusation, which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default	
8 9	Decision Evidence Packet in this case:	
10	a. Falsely Advertising as an Accredited Institution in violation of Code §§	
11	94932 and 94897€ in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, § 75100;	
12	b. Falsification of Records in violation Code §§ 94932 and 94897(k) in	
13		
14	conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, § 75100;	
15	c. Failure to Maintain Records of Degree Granted in violation of under Code §§	
16	94900(b)(l) and 94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, § 75100;	
17	d. Failure to Maintain Faculty Records in violation of Code §§ 94900.5(b) and	
18	94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, § 75100;	
19	e. Failure to Maintain Student Records in violation of Cal. Code of Regs., Title	
20	5, § 6 71920, subdivisions (b)(l)(A), (b)(4), (b)(5)(A), (b)(9) and (b)(10);	
21	f. Violation on Enrollment Agreement Requirements in violation of Code §§	
22	94932, 92902, subdivisions (a) and (b)(3), 94911 subdivisions (c), (i)(l), (i)(2) and (g)(l)	
23	and 94912 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 71800 subdivisions (a), (b),	
24 25	(c) and (d), 75100, 76215 subdivisions (a) and (b), and 76120(a);	
25 26		
	g. Violation of School Catalog Requirements in violation of Code §§ 94909	
27	subdivisions (a)(l), (a)(3)(A), (a)(3)(B), (a)(8)(A) and (a)(12) and 94932 in conjunction	
28	5	

with Cal. Codes of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100, and 71810 subdivisions (b)(l), (b)(9), (b)(12),	
(b)(13)(B) and (b)(13)(C);	
h. School Performance Fact Sheet deficiencies in violation of Code §§ 94910	
subdivisions (a), (b), (c), (d), (g)(2) and 94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs.,	
Title 5, 75100;	
i. Failure to Provide a Refund in violation of Code §§ 94932 and 94920(e) in	
conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100, 71745 subdivisions (a)(4), (a)(5)	
and (a)(6) and 71750 subdivisions (a), (c)(l) and (e);	
j. Failure to Pay Required Fees in violation of Code §§ 94932 and	
94930.5(d)(l)(A) in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100 and 74006(b);	
k. Failure to Submit Required Report in violation of Code §§ 94929(a), 94932	
and 94934 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100 and 74110(c);	
1. Failure to Maintain Correct Withdrawal Information in violation of Code §	
94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100 and 71750(f);	
m. Failure to Maintain Evidence That Students Met Minimum Qualifications	
in violation of Code § 94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100,	
71770(a)(l) and 71920(b)(l)(A);	
n. Failure to Notify Bureau of New Programs in violation of Code § 94932 in	
conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100 and 716.60;	
o. Failure to Have Instructions and/or Qualified Instructors in violation of	
Code § 94932, Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100, 71715 subdivisions (a) and (c) and	
71720(b)(l);	
p. Failure to Meet Facility Standards in violation of Code § 94932 in	
6 (FORM ACADEMY, INC., MIRIAM JONES) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 996860	

.

1
2
3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100, 71735 subdivisions (a) arid (b) and 71740(b);

q. Violations of Student Tuition Recovery Fund in violation of Code § 94932 in conjunction with Cal. Code of Regs., Title 5, §§ 75100, 76130 subdivisions (a) and (b) and 76140 subdivisions (a) and (b).

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED the Certification of Institutional and Program Approvals No. 83978984, heretofore issued to Respondent Form Academy, Inc., Miriam Jones, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate its Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Decision shall become effective on _____

SEP 1 6 2016

It is so ORDERED

3/16/16

DOREATHEA JOHNSON Deputy Director Division of Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs