- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about April 21, 2015, the U.S. Postal Service returned the Accusation mailing marked "Return to Sender / Attempted—Not Known / Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Bureau. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Bureau and the Bureau has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made itself available for service and therefore has not availed itself of its right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon it of the Accusation, and therefore waived its right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 996765.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 996765, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct. Although the standard is preponderance of the evidence, the standard has been met by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. A Certification of Prosecution Costs in the amount of \$2,237.50 as of May 29, 2015, was submitted as part of the evidence packet. Pursuant to Education Code Section 94937 subdivision (c) and Business and Professions Code section 125.3(c), it is hereby deemed prima

facie evidence that the costs are reasonable for enforcement of this matter in the amount of \$2,237.50 as of May 29, 2015.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent California Adams College; Sook Hee Nam; Kyung Nam has subjected his Institutional and Program Approvals Certificate No. 1909941 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Institutional and Program Approvals Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case (all section references are to the Education Code):
- A. Section 94886 for opening, conducting, and doing business as California Adams College without an approval to operate and section 94943 for knowingly operating California Adams College without an approval to operate.
- B. Section 94897 subdivision (b) for promising or guaranteeing employment or for otherwise overstating the availability of jobs upon graduation.
 - C. Section 94902 for failing to provide students with enrollment agreements.
- D. Section 94920 and California Code of Regulations Title 5, section 71750 for failing to honor cancellations or withdrawals by paying the appropriate refund.
- E. Section 94930.5 in conjunction with California Code of Regulations Title 5, section 74006 as they did not pay annual institutional fees to the Bureau for the years ending June 2011 and June 2012.
- F. California Code of Regulations Title 5, section 71660 because it did not notify the Bureau in writing within 30 days of a change of location from 3545 Wilshire Boulevard #350 to 2706 Wilshire Boulevard #200, nor did it notify the Bureau in writing within 30 days of another change of location to 3000 West 6th Street, Suites #201 and #202.

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (CASE NO. 998285)

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Institutional and Program Approvals Certificate No. 1909941, heretofore issued to Respondent California Adams College; Sook Hee Nam; Kyung Nam, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 7 days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. AUG- 2 0 2015 This Decision shall become effective on JUL 1 4 2015 It is so ORDERED Department of Consumer Affairs Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation